## Case4:08-cv-01427-CW Document61 Filed12/10/08 Page1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ULRICO S. ROSALES, State Bar No. 139809 STEPHEN L. TAEUSCH, State Bar No. 247703 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Email: urosales@wsgr.com, staeusch@wsgr.co Attorneys for Defendants SAKHAWAT KHAN and ROOMY KHAN	om		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	VILMA SERRALTA,	)	CASE NO.: CV 08 1427 <b>CW</b>	
11	Plaintiff,	)	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO	
12 13 14	v. SAKHAWAT KHAN, ROOMY KHAN And DOES ONE through TEN, inclusive,	)	CONTINUE HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES; ORDER GRANTING CONTINUANCE	
15	Defendants.	)	(Civ. L.R. 7-11)	
16		) )		
17 18		)		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23   24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO CONTINUE HEARING CASE NO. CV 08 1427 EDL

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11, Defendants hereby respectfully request that the Court continue the hearing on Plaintiff Vilma Serralta's ("Plaintiff") Motion to Compel Further Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Plaintiff's First Set of Special Interrogatories ("Motion to Compel"). The hearing on the Motion to Compel is currently noticed for January 8, 2009.

This request for administrative relief is based on the following facts: (1) Defendants are in the process of attempting to obtain the Court's consent to Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati's ("WSGR") withdrawal as their counsel, (2) Defendants have begun the process of retaining lower-cost successor counsel to represent them in this matter and do not authorize WSGR to continue to represent them in this matter, and (3) Stephen Taeusch, who has handled discovery matters for Defendants in this matter, will be on his honeymoon between the dates of November 28 and December 8, 2008.

On November 18, 2008, Defendants filed with this Court a Motion for Administrative Relief to Approve Withdrawal of Counsel, which Plaintiff did not oppose. Notwithstanding, and despite continuing meet and confer efforts to resolve outstanding discovery matters, on November 24, 2008, Plaintiff filed the Motion to Compel and noticed the hearing date for January 8, 2009. Plaintiff's counsel did not confer with Defendants' counsel as to the hearing date selected.

On November 26, 2008, Stephen Taeusch met and conferred with Plaintiff's counsel and requested that Plaintiff agree to continue the motion hearing. Plaintiff's counsel indicated that he would need to discuss the matter with his associates, but that he was skeptical Plaintiff would agree to a continuance. Plaintiff's counsel indicated that he might not be able to respond prior to Mr. Taeusch's departure on his vacation. I informed Plaintiff's counsel by email on November 26, 2008, that, if Plaintiff had not agreed to a continuance or had not responded by Monday, December 1, 2008, Defendants would bring the instant motion. Plaintiff's counsel replied that Defendants should proceed with the understanding that Plaintiff is not willing to stipulate to a continuance of the hearing on the Motion to Compel.

## Case4:08-cv-01427-CW Document61 Filed12/10/08 Page3 of 4

1	While WSGR remains counsel of record for Defendants at this time, Defendants desire to			
2	be represented by lower-cost counsel in this matter. WSGR therefore respectfully requests that			
3	the Court continue the mandated court appearance while the Court considers Defendants' request			
4	that WSGR be permitted to withdraw as their counsel in this matter. Such additional time will			
5	permit successor counsel to enter an appearance, permit new counsel to familiarize itself with the			
6	facts and legal issues of the instant case, and permit new counsel to address the outstanding			
7	discovery issues or disputes with an eye toward resolving those disputes without the need of a			
8	hearing or wasting judicial resources. An extension of twenty to thirty days will not prejudice			
9	plaintiff's case or discovery efforts, and indeed will increase the likelihood of resolving the			
10	disputes at issue in Plaintiff's motion.			
11				
12	Dated: December 10, 2008 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation			
13	Ulrico S. Rosales (Bar No. 139809) Stephen L. Taeusch (Bar No. 247708)			
14	Stephen E. Taeusen (Bai 110. 247700)			
15	By: /s/Stephen L. Taeusch			
16	Stephen L. Taeusch staeusch@wsgr.com			
17	650 Page Mill Road			
18	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 493-9300			
19	Facsimile: (650) 493-6811			
20	Attorneys for Defendants Sakhawat and Roomy Khan			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

## Case4:08-cv-01427-CW Document61 Filed12/10/08 Page4 of 4

1 **ORDER** Having reviewed and considered Defendants' Motion for Administrative Relief to 2 3 Continue Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Discovery Responses, and good cause 4 appearing, the Court hereby continues the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Plaintiff's First 5 6 Set of Special Interrogatories to January 15, 2009, at 2:00 p.m. 7 Budialeit 12/10 8 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2008 Honorable Claudia Wilken 9 United States District Judge Northern District of California 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28